



I Have a Dream!

What would the world look like if the nations of the world decided to allow everyone to thrive in peaceful coexistence? — Why doesn't that seem to work? Which troublesome elements would need to be neutralized?

Peter Hanseler

Fri 09 Oct 2026 14 min read

The Reality: A Nightmare—Not a Dream

A Hateful Image of the Enemy Will Make War Possible

Our readers are familiar with the arguments put forward by the “Collective West” to justify the wars currently underway—and likely those to come as well; they are well known and need not be repeated ad nauseam; they are hollow and utterly disingenuous. As a consequence of these “justifications,” the West views Russians as

aggressive subhumans who will attack the West to achieve their imperialist goals—not for the first time, but time and again. Yet every time, it was the West that attacked and brought death and destruction upon Russia.

The Israelis do not even regard the Palestinians—and thus all Arabs—as subhuman, but as animals. They want to exterminate the former through genocide and subjugate and rule the rest under Israel (Greater Israel); the “General Plan East” sends its regards. In the eyes of the West, the Iranians are terrorists and mass murderers driven by religious fanaticism.

That is the essence of the arguments put forward by the Collective West. The men and women who shape this narrative in the public sphere and repeat it like a rosary have modeled their approach on that of Dr. Goebbels, who is credited with the dictum that a lie becomes the truth if it is repeated often enough. The Israelis and all their colleagues in the Collective West apply the same formula—with success.

.....

.....

The fact that this principle still holds true after World War II is a clear sign of the complete degeneration of skepticism, the antidote to propaganda. The consequences of this fact are frightening, for even today there are many—too many—followers, silent onlookers, and those who choose the path of least resistance among the population.

In Nazi Germany, Jews were murdered in secret. The majority of the population was not fully aware of what was happening, and people kept quiet if they heard anything through the grapevine. The Nazi leadership remained silent about this genocide because they believed the population would not approve of it—and they were probably right. The situation is quite different in Israel: The overwhelming majority of the population of the “Holy Land” supports the genocide against the Palestinians, which can be followed by anyone on the internet.

Here is a short video showing the state of Gaza before and after the escalation of destruction. Compared to Gaza, Dresden looked quite a bit better after the bombings of 1945; in Dresden, 25,000 apartments were destroyed, while in Gaza, approximately 135,000 buildings were destroyed!

.....

.....

Israel will go down in history as a chilling cautionary tale, replacing Nazi Germany—a twist of history that could not be more cynical.

In Western Europe, political and social standards are equally abysmal. In the United Kingdom, you can be arrested for speaking out against the genocide in Gaza; even silently holding up a sign that reads, “*I am against genocide. I support Palestine Action.*” is considered a violation of Section 13 of the *Terrorism Act*. This is precisely what led to the arrest of Graham Bash, an [elderly Jewish man](#), in London. If you oppose genocide and stand in solidarity with those who are being murdered, you are a terrorist.

I consider Gaza to be a massive concentration camp, and the prisoners have the right to defend themselves—by any means necessary, as happened in October 2023. When the prisoners at Sobibor killed their guards and escaped, it was considered heroic—see the film *Escape from Sobibor*. Today, you’re labeled a terrorist if you even show support for these poor souls by holding up a sign.

The Majority is Condemned to Silence

If one takes the media as a yardstick, then all Europeans are Russophobic. In its absolute sense, this certainly does not correspond to the truth. Yet the majority of those who are not Russophobic remain silent. Some out of convenience, others likely out of fear of persecution. What is supposed to protect the “average citizen” that failed to protect a prominent figure like [Jacques Baud](#)? When speaking with people in Western Europe in a very private setting, it becomes clear that positive remarks about Russia in “neutral” Switzerland are, at the very least, not career-enhancing. Personally, a mid-level Swiss banker has made it clear to me that he considers Switzerland’s conduct as a neutral state toward Russia—and his employer’s conduct toward clients with ties to Russia—to be unacceptable and illegal. However, he has two children and a house with a mortgage, and therefore feels unable to voice his opinion to his superiors.

This is a clear indication that people’s personal views no longer matter and no longer have any impact. The fear of negative consequences is far too great. Consequently, the influence that the few brave individuals exert on public opinion is negligible. As a result, those in power have already won.

Russophobia and genocidal attitudes in Europe are not only socially acceptable but have, through the neutralization of dissenting opinions described above, become part of the public media and political agenda. This agenda is characterized by a “monotheistic” worldview that makes any discourse impossible: There is only one God. And there is only “our” opinion, “our” truth. “Our democracy.”

Has evil prevailed? Are my thoughts on this, as expressed in the November 2025 article “[Will Evil Prevail?](#)”, now obsolete? Entire continents are poisoned by falsehoods that have become the only truth. There’s no longer any need to kill or make the opponents of this policy disappear. Fear reigns and makes dissenting opinions vanish—mission accomplished.

How to Start a War

The hate machine, which has been constructed with great accurateness, runs smoothly across all the old parties. Politics, industry, and the media have—once again—become one; a clear indicative of fascism. The control mechanism that silences the “opponents” is based on institutionalized and legally codified fear. Fear of losing one’s job, social standing, and reputation. The small remnant that refuses to be intimidated is subjected to sanctions, which lead to the expropriation of all financial resources and the complete deprivation of rights. These sanctions undermine human dignity and the fundamental rights that stem from it. A political and administrative mechanism that makes the medieval [imperial ban](#) look trivial by comparison. If fundamental rights are eliminated, the foundation upon which every society rests is lost. I refer to my lecture from September 3: “[Human dignity is intangible](#)”—really?. One need only look back 90 years to find this same erosion of society.

The Enabling Act of 1933 came about as a result of a *false flag* attack (the Reichstag fire) and the German parliament’s lack of backbone. Its non-Nazi members were hoodwinked and/or threatened. This led to a power structure comparable to that of today. “[Law is whatever serves the German people](#),” a quip by Hans Frank at the German Lawyers’ Congress in 1933. It is difficult to discern major differences between 1933 and 2026. Today, EU policy is not only being enforced in Germany with the full force of the authorities, but has taken hold throughout the entire EU. At the helm of this supranational structure stands Ursula von der Leyen, who was elevated to power without a democratic mandate. In contrast, Adolf Hitler’s chancellorship was democratically legitimized.



Unlike Ursula von der Leyen's tenure, Adolf Hitler's chancellorship was democratically legitimate.


The direct war in Europe between NATO and Russia is thus already taking place in people's minds. The powder keg is full. The trivial *false flag* attack in Leipzig (Russia is said to have attacked Germany with a EUR 199 drone) was not yet enough to serve as the spark in the powder keg; more sparks will be set off until it is finally enough.

The alternative concept—the dream—would look different and would be a blessing for all of humanity.

The “I Have a Dream” Concept is Life-Threatening

«I have a dream. That one day this nation will rise up and live out the true meaning of its creed. We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal.»

DR. MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. DURING THE MARCH ON WASHINGTON ON AUGUST 28, 1963



Dr. Martin Luther King paid for his dream with his life. If the governments of the world were to follow Martin Luther King—and I have never heard a single authoritative voice speak out against this hero's dream—and if all people on this earth were treated equally, then the reasons for the wars currently being waged would be obsolete, and we would have peace.

The Russians would be human beings—not imperialist, brutal subhumans from the East. The Iranians would not be Muslim, bloodthirsty, religious terrorists controlled by “mullahs.” The Chinese would not be soulless communist robots bent on subjugating the West. The Germans would not be brainwashed Nazis, seduced yet again; the Americans would not be uneducated, primitive, unreliable loudmouths who blackmail the world; and finally, the British would not be the psychopaths from the mists of Albion who still want to rule the world.

We humans would simply live together, resolve our conflicts through peaceful means, and never even think of threatening others with death and destruction, let alone attacking or destroying them.

I am certain that if a global referendum were held, this simple structure of the world would certainly find favor—with roughly 99% of the world's population.

The Dream Would Be a Paradise

Although the chances of realizing this dream are slim at the moment, describing this dream, this goal, is a delight.

In times of war, countries increase their defense spending to astronomical levels. We are already at war, and defense spending has already multiplied. If these costs were eliminated, governments could focus on what the people actually elected them to do: improving the lives of the population through investments in infrastructure, education, health care, and so on.

In this ideal world, there would be no sanctions; trade in goods and the flow of money would be free and unimpeded, and thus vastly more efficient and less expensive. Every single person around the world would benefit from this.

Countries like Russia and Iran would suddenly become the richest countries on the planet—to limit ourselves to just two of the largest among the current warring parties. And that, ultimately, is one of the main reasons why this dream is currently failing. It's called envy—alongside greed, the strongest force within human beings. Many people think that envy is a problem of the lower classes, who long for a better life. However, that is not the case. I have met billionaires who could truly fulfill every material desire with a mere nod. Yet they envied those who were even richer. Perverse, but true. This also applies to the super-elite, whom we never even get to see. People with the greatest power automatically want to be the richest as well, because they fear losing their power otherwise.

Those in Power Don't Strive for a Dream World

The dream world of the powerful looks different; it is reflected in the current situation. On the one hand, they earn trillions from military spending—a business characterized by huge commissions and profit margins. An oasis of comfort, created for corrupt business leaders, politicians, political analysts, lobbyists, and the mainstream media. The dream world I've described would spell the end of this business. This business is so lucrative because a vanishingly small portion of the world's population can divide these enormous sums among themselves, leaving enough to buy off decision-makers in politics, business, and the media.

You don't just grease these ladies' and gentlemen's palms to get a contract through a body like a parliament, because greasing palms is like renting. You have to keep paying. If you buy people off and integrate them into the value chains, it costs less in support expenses, management time, and thus money. Young politicians are

supported with scholarships and highly paid bullshit jobs, with the promise that after fulfilling their duties in political office, they'll get another job they could never land without help. The dumber the person, the lower the expenses.

A prime example: Annalena Baerbock. Although as dumb as a box of rocks, she “attended” London for a few months rather than the London School of Economics (2004–2005), became Foreign Minister (2021–2025), then President of the UN General Assembly (2025–2026), and is now a Professor of Professional Practice at Columbia University. Her salary will range between \$250,000 and \$400,000. I'll leave it to the reader's imagination to figure out how the president of Columbia University was persuaded to hire such a creature—who doesn't even have a command of her own native language—as a professor.

These aren't new stories. Joschka Fischer, who dropped out of high school, ended up as a visiting professor at Princeton University after his tenure as German foreign minister and vice chancellor (1998–2005)—without a high school diploma, and with no trace of a university education—licentiate, doctorate, or habilitation—to be found anywhere. You'd have to be a bit of a cynic to think that this honor of being named a professor had anything to do with the bombing of Serbia.

Many careers follow the same pattern: the weaker the candidates, the more malleable they are—and the cheaper it is for their sponsors to achieve their goals. President Macron didn't know the term [EBITDA](#) when he joined Rothschild in 2008; that's like a bricklayer not knowing how to hold a trowel. Although he was promoted to partner after just two years, his role in major transactions up to that point was described as “junior”: he filled out Excel spreadsheets. At Rothschild, it typically takes 7 to 12 years to rise from entry-level to partner. Mr. Macron, therefore, received the accolades before he had rendered any special services. The same was true for Federal Chancellor Merz at BlackRock.

This is how careers are forged in Europe—and in the U.S. as well—to install malleable and controllable puppets who follow their puppet masters and in no way serve the wishes of their own populations. That is also why it is completely irrelevant whether 99% of people want peace and prosperity. Where would we end up if the plebs got what they wanted?

How Do You Get Rid of Dream Destroyers?

With the right resources and the right team of scientists, it would certainly be feasible to drag the people working behind the scenes into the spotlight, but at present—out of sheer physical self-preservation—many of those with the

intellectual capacity to do so find themselves unable to act. There are many publications on this topic, but most are written by unknown authors and are thus easy prey for those who dismiss such research as conspiracy theories, thereby putting the authors *offside*.

A few weeks ago, I met for lunch with a group of the West's most outstanding and influential geopolitical analysts. During the meal, I asked whether they, too, believed that the theories about certain groups (the *deep state*, the Rothschilds, etc.) were accurate: The answer was a resounding yes—from everyone. The reason why none of the leading minds—and that's exactly who these participants were—are investigating this topic and bringing it to the public's attention has to do with self-preservation. It does not yet seem possible to solve the problem using conventional scientific and journalistic methods—the fear on one side is too great, and the power on the other side is equally formidable. However, the time will come, as the puppet masters keep making mistakes; see [*Epstein – “Concierge of Evil” – Dangerous Questions*](#).

My dream is like paradise, but reality is a nightmare.

Conclusion

I have this dream, the one I've spoken of time and again in this article—the dream of prosperity for all, the dream of justice. And above all else, the dream of peace. It was this dream that time and again inspired people to rise up and fight, even during the worst, darkest periods of their history.

Whether this is possible under the circumstances that have prevailed since at least World War II—with unelected, secret groups, whose existence can at best be surmised, controlling the fate of the world—is uncertain. Who has the strength, the courage, and the means to drag these monsters, who shun the light, into the spotlight of the global public and bring them to justice?

Time is running out. If, for example, the Europeans achieve their goal and a war with Russia breaks out, it will—according to Foreign Minister Lavrov—be a completely different kind of war—and it will be short.

I appeal to all decision-makers in the West to reconsider their war mania and finally slam on the brakes—before the road freezes over, all braking power is lost, and the fools behind the wheel realize only at the moment of impact that they are idiots. Humanity will pay the price for this.

ARTICLE TAGS:

Analysis